1 2 3 4 5	Brian Segee (Cal. Bar No. 200795) Center for Biological Diversity 660 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (805) 750-8852 Email: bsegee@biologicaldiversity.org Pro Hac Vice Application Pending	
	Marc Fink (Minn. Bar No. 343407)	
6 7	Center for Biological Diversity	
8	209 East 7th Street Duluth, MN 55805	
	Tel: (218) 464-0539	
9 10	Email: mfink@biologicaldiversity.org Pro Hac Vice Application Pending	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12	·	
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA TUCSON DIVISION	
14		
15	Tees	
16	Center for Biological Diversity, a non-	Case No.:
17	profit organization, Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
18		
19	V.	
20	U.S. Forest Service; and U.S. Fish and	
21	Wildlife Service,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24	INTRODUCTION	
25	1. Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversity ("Center") brings this action	
26	against the U.S. Forest Service ("USFS") and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS")	
27	(collectively, "the Agencies") for violations of the Endangered Species Act ("ESA") arising from USFS final agency actions authorizing domestic livestock grazing on more Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief	
28		

than 30 grazing allotments within the upper Gila River watershed on the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests.

- 2. The aquatic and streamside riparian habitats of the upper Gila River watershed within the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests are occupied by listed threatened and endangered species including the yellow-billed cuckoo, southwestern willow flycatcher, Chiricahua leopard frog, Gila chub, narrow-headed and northern Mexican garter snakes, spikedace, and loach minnow.
- 3. Like the large majority of Federal public lands within the arid west, the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests routinely authorize domestic livestock grazing on individual grazing allotments through the issuance of term grazing permits, allotment management plans ("AMPs"), and allotment annual operating instructions ("AOIs").
- 4. Scientific study of the impacts of livestock grazing on aquatic and riparian habitats in the Southwest is extensive and universally shows severe and lasting negative impacts such that near complete exclusion of cattle is widely accepted as an essential cornerstone for preserving stream health, water quality and quantity, and endangered species habitat within grazed areas.
- 5. For two decades, the Agencies have committed to the exclusion of cattle from riparian areas—typically through fencing—as a foundation for meeting their obligations under the Endangered Species Act to ensure that USFS's grazing authorizations do not jeopardize the continued existence of endangered species, or result in the destruction or adverse modification of their designated critical habitat.

 Specifically, in carrying out their consultation duties pursuant to section 7 of the ESA for the individual grazing allotment authorizations challenged in this action, the Agencies have determined that the effects of domestic livestock grazing are not likely to adversely impact endangered species dependent on aquatic and riparian habitat based largely on commitments to exclude this streamside habitat from cattle and to have USFS regularly monitor riparian areas to ensure that the fencing exclusions remain intact and effective.

- 6. Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversity conducted on-the-ground assessments to determine if cattle are present within riparian areas excluded from cattle on grazing allotments in the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests in 2017, 2018, and 2019. These assessments documented that the purported fencing exclusions were frequently in disrepair or simply nonexistent, resulting in widespread unauthorized cattle presence with associated damage to riparian areas and occupied or suitable endangered species habitat. The Center provided these assessments to USFS.
- 7. The ESA places ongoing obligations on federal agencies to ensure that their actions do not jeopardize the continued existence of endangered species or adversely modify or destroy their designated critical habitat, including the duty to reinitiate section 7 consultations in four circumstances. 50 C.F.R. § 402.16(a)(1)-(4). Agencies must reinitiate consultation, for example, "[i]f the amount or extent of taking specified in the incidental take statement is exceeded," when "[n]ew information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered," or when "[t]he identified action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species or critical habitat that was not considered in the biological opinion." *Id.* § 402.16(a)(1)-(3).
- 8. The Agencies were required to reinitiate and complete consultation when presented with evidence documenting extensive cattle use and associated lack of USFS monitoring within the riparian streamside areas of specific allotments within the upper Gila River watershed in the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests. The USFS's failure in fact to exclude domestic livestock from occupied threatened and endangered species habitat, and designated critical habitat, or to take immediate corrective action to remedy these failures, undermines the Agencies' conclusions regarding the impact of those specific grazing allotment authorizations on listed species and their designated critical habitat, and specifically triggers the reinitiation thresholds at 50 C.F.R. § 402.16(a).

- 9. Plaintiff provided sixty (60) days' Notice of its Intent ("NOI") to file this suit pursuant to the citizen suit provision of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g), by letter to the Agencies dated July 17, 2019.
- 10. On October 16, 2019, the USFS Southwestern Regional Forester responded to Plaintiff's NOI. The response does not resolve the ESA violations alleged in Plaintiff's NOI. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief to enforce the ESA's requirements with respect to USFS agency actions authorizing grazing on the specific allotments discussed further below and listed in **Table 1** (organized by National Forest, and then by river or stream).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 16 U.S.C. § 1540(c),(g) (action arising under ESA citizen suit provision); 5 U.S.C. § 702 (APA review); and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction).
- 12. The Court may grant the relief requested under the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g); the APA, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-706; and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 (declaratory and injunctive relief).
- 13. Plaintiff provided sixty (60) days' NOI to file this suit pursuant to the citizen suit provision of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g), by letter to the Agencies dated July 17, 2019. Defendants have not taken action to remedy their continuing ESA violations by the date of this complaint's filing. Therefore, an actual controversy exists between the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 14. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona pursuant to 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g)(3)(A) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the Center's claims occurred in Greenlee and Graham Counties, which are within this District. Additionally, the Center's primary office is located in Tucson, Arizona.

PARTIES

- 15. Plaintiff CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY is a non-profit environmental organization dedicated to the protection of endangered species and wild places through science, policy, and environmental law. The Center is headquartered in Tucson, Arizona, with offices throughout the United States, including in California, the District of Columbia, Florida, Hawai'i, Minnesota, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, and Washington. The Center has more than 70,000 members and more than 1.6 million supporters and online activists.
- 16. The Center and its members have protectable interests in the conservation of imperiled species and their streamside riparian habitat, including the yellow-billed cuckoo, southwestern willow flycatcher, Chiricahua leopard frog, narrow-headed and northern Mexican garter snakes, Gila chub, spikedace, and loach minnow, and in the full and effective implementation of the Endangered Species Act.
- 17. Plaintiffs' members include individuals who regularly visit specific areas of the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests on the upper Gila River watershed that are directly within, or impacted by, the individual grazing authorizations challenged in this case. Plaintiffs' members can demonstrate consistent and longstanding use and enjoyment of the rivers and streams being degraded by unauthorized riparian grazing, including the Blue River, Eagle Creek, and San Francisco River on the Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests in Arizona, and the Gila River Headwaters (West, Middle, and East Forks), Gila River, Tularosa River, and San Francisco River on the Gila National Forest in New Mexico, as well as areas within those rivers' larger watershed that are impacted by unlawful grazing. Plaintiff has members who have concrete plans to return to these areas during the next year.
- 18. Plaintiff's members also specifically seek to observe or study the yellow-billed cuckoo, southwestern willow flycatcher, Chiricahua leopard frog, narrow-headed and northern Mexican garter snakes, Gila chub, spikedace, and loach minnow in their natural habitat in the upper Gila River watershed within the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila

7

89

11

10

13

12

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

2728

National Forests, including the Blue River, Eagle Creek, and the San Francisco River in the Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests in Arizona, and the Gila River Headwaters (West, Middle, and East Forks), Gila River, Tularosa River, and San Francisco River in the Gila National Forest in New Mexico.

- 19. Plaintiffs' members and staff derive recreational, professional, scientific, educational, aesthetic, spiritual and other benefits from their use of the specific areas of the upper Gila River watershed within the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests described above. These interests of Plaintiff's members, have been, are being, and will continue to be adversely harmed by the Agencies' failure to meet their procedural and substantive duties under section 7 of the ESA. Through the Agencies' actions and failures to act, domestic livestock are being allowed to use streamside riparian areas, resulting in streambank trampling, soil compaction, removal of riparian vegetation, and deposition of cattle feces, resulting in water quality degradation, dewatering of streams, habitat destruction, and related adverse impacts to endangered species and other natural resource values, which in turn significantly and directly harms Plaintiff's members. The injuries described are actual, concrete injuries presently suffered by Plaintiff and its members, and they will continue to occur unless this Court grants relief. The relief sought herein an Order compelling the Agencies to reinitiate and complete section 7 consultations for the challenged actions while taking immediate corrective actions to effectively exclude cattle from streamside and riparian areas and remedy the damage caused by those cattle—would redress those harms. Plaintiffs and their members have no other adequate remedy at law.
- 20. Defendant UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE is an agency within the Department of Agriculture. Like all federal agencies, the USFS must comply with all applicable requirements of the ESA.
- 21. Defendant UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE is the agency within the Department of the Interior that is charged with implementing the ESA, and shares responsibility for reinitiation and completion of consultation under section 7.

LEGAL BACKGROUND

- 22. The Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1531-1544, is "the most comprehensive legislation for the preservation of endangered species ever enacted by any nation." *Tenn. Valley Auth. v. Hill*, 437 U.S. 153, 180 (1978). Its fundamental purposes are "to provide a means whereby the ecosystems upon which endangered species and threatened species depend may be conserved [and] to provide a program for the conservation of such endangered species and threatened species" 16 U.S.C. § 1531(b).
- 23. To achieve these objectives, the ESA directs the Secretary of the Interior, through FWS, to determine which species of plants and animals are "threatened" and "endangered" and place them on the list of protected species. *Id.* § 1533. An "endangered" or "threatened" species is one "in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range," or "likely to become endangered in the near future throughout all or a significant portion of its range," respectively. *Id.* § 1532(6), (20).
- 24. Once a species is listed, the ESA provides a variety of procedural and substantive protections to ensure not only the species' continued survival, but its ultimate recovery, including the designation of critical habitat, the preparation and implementation of recovery plans, the prohibition against the "taking" of listed species, and the requirement for interagency consultation. *Id.* §§ 1533(a)(3), 1533(f), 1536, 1538.
- 25. The ESA recognizes that federal agencies such as USFS have a critical role to play in meeting these statutory purposes. The ESA establishes that it is "the policy of Congress that all Federal departments and agencies shall seek to conserve endangered species and threatened species and shall utilize their authorities in furtherance of the purposes" of the ESA. *Id.* § 1531(c)(1).
- 26. To implement this policy, section 7(a)(1) of the ESA requires that "Federal agencies shall, in consultation with and with the assistance of [FWS], utilize their authorities in furtherance of the purposes of this Act by carrying out programs for the conservation of endangered species and threatened species." *Id.* § 1536(a)(1).

- 27. In addition to this mandate, the ESA requires that "[e]ach Federal agency shall, in consultation with . . . [FWS], [e]nsure that any action authorized, funded, or carried out by such agency . . . is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered species or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of [critical habitat]." *Id.* § 1536(a)(2).
- 28. FWS' regulations define an agency "action" to mean "all activities or programs of any kind authorized, funded, or carried out, in whole or in part, by Federal agencies." 50 C.F.R. § 402.02.
- 29. Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA contains both procedural and substantive mandates. Substantively, it requires that all federal agencies avoid actions that: (1) jeopardize the continued existence of listed species; or (2) destroy or adversely modify their designated critical habitat. Procedurally, to ensure compliance with the substantive standards, the federal agency taking action and FWS take part in a cooperative analysis of potential impacts to listed species and their designated critical habitat known as the consultation process. 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2). The consultation process has been described as the "heart of the ESA." W. Watersheds Project v. Kraayenbrink, 632 F.3d 472, 495 (9th Cir. 2011).
- 30. If listed or proposed species may be present in the project area, the action agency must prepare a "biological assessment" to determine whether the listed species may be affected by the proposed action. 50 C.F.R. § 402.12.
- 31. If the action agency determines that its proposed action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, the agency must normally engage in "formal consultation" with FWS. *Id.* § 402.14. However, the agency need not initiate formal consultation if, as a result of the preparation of a biological assessment or as a result of informal consultation with FWS, the agency determines, with the written concurrence of FWS, that the proposed action is not likely to adversely affect any listed species or critical habitat. *Id.* §§ 402.13, 402.14(b)(1).

- 32. Through the formal section 7 consultation process, FWS prepares a "biological opinion" as to whether the action is likely to jeopardize the species or destroy or adversely modify critical habitat and, if so, suggests "reasonable and prudent alternatives" to avoid that result. *Id.* § 402.14; 16 U.S.C. § 1536(b)(3)(A). If the biological opinion concludes that the action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species, and will not result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, FWS must provide an "incidental take statement," specifying the amount or extent of such incidental taking on the species and any "reasonable and prudent measures" that FWS considers necessary or appropriate to minimize such impact, and setting forth the "terms and conditions" that must be complied with by the action agency to implement those measures. 16 U.S.C. § 1536(b)(4); 50 C.F.R. § 402.14(i).
- 33. Agencies must reinitiate consultation on agency actions over which the action agency retains, or is authorized to exercise, discretionary involvement or control, if: (a) the amount or extent of taking specified in the incidental take statement is exceeded; (b) new information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered; (c) the identified action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species or critical habitat that was not considered in the biological opinion or written concurrence; or (d) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the identified action. 50 C.F.R. § 402.16(a)(1)-(4).
- 34. After the initiation or reinitiation of section 7 consultation, the action agency is prohibited from making any irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources with respect to the agency action. 16 U.S.C. § 1536(d).
- 35. During the consultation process, federal agencies must "use the best scientific and commercial data available." *Id.* § 1536(a)(2); 50 CFR § 402.14(d).

BACKGROUND

A. The National Forests of the Upper Gila River Watershed

- 36. The Gila River originates in southwestern New Mexico and flows westward across Arizona to its confluence with the Colorado River north of Yuma (although much of the lower watershed is commonly dry). The upper portion of the watershed—defined as all of the land drained by that portion of the river and its tributaries east of Coolidge Dam—is largely comprised of federal lands, including National Forests (thirty-seven percent) and public lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management (twenty percent).
- 37. The Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests administer the large majority of national forest lands within the upper Gila River watershed. Within the Gila National Forest, the West Fork, Middle Fork, and the East Fork Headwaters of the Gila River begin the river's journey down from the heights of the rugged Mogollon Mountains, as well as the Black Range along the continental divide. These headwaters join together to form the mainstem of the Gila River within the Gila Wilderness. After emerging from the Gila Wilderness near the town of Glenwood, New Mexico, the river then flows south and west across the Arizona state line.
- 38. In Arizona, the Blue River drains a large portion of the Blue Range within the Apache National Forest as it flows southward, eventually joining the waters of the San Francisco River at the southern end of the range. Although the San Francisco River has its headwaters in Arizona, much of it flows through the Gila National Forest in New Mexico before flowing back into Arizona. These combined waters then flow into the larger Gila River.
- 39. Together, the upper Gila River, the San Francisco River, the Blue River, and their tributaries define an expansive undeveloped area that includes the first designated Forest Service wilderness (the Gila) and the last remaining Forest Service primitive area (the Blue Range). In addition to its high concentration of endangered species, the upper Gila River watershed and adjacent areas contain one of the world's

largest ponderosa pine forests (and one of the first areas to successfully reintroduce fire to the landscape), which sustains abundant wildlife including wild turkeys, eagles, deer, pronghorn, elk, bighorn sheep, javelina, cougars, and black bears, as well as the reintroduced population of Mexican gray wolves.

40. In addition to the pervasive impacts of domestic cattle grazing, persistent drought, dewatering, global warming, invasive species, and other impacts have in recent years taken an increasing toll on southwestern ecosystems, resulting in the recent listing of numerous threatened or endangered species dependent on southwestern riparian areas. Reflecting these impacts, and the looming threat of a major diversion project, the Gila was named the nation's most endangered river in 2019.

B. Public Lands Grazing is a Primary Threat to Endangered Species Dependent on Southwestern Streams

- 41. Due in part to their undeveloped nature and remoteness, the national forests of the upper Gila River watershed are refugia for many listed threatened and endangered species, including the yellow-billed cuckoo, southwestern willow flycatcher, Chiricahua leopard frog, narrow-headed and northern Mexican garter snakes, Gila chub, spikedace, loach minnow, and more. However, land use within the national forests often negatively impacts these species. Like the large majority of public lands within the arid west, the Gila and Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests routinely authorize domestic livestock grazing that poses significant environmental risks to arid Southwestern ecosystems, particularly to streamside and riparian areas but also including adjacent upland areas.
- 42. Scientific study on the impacts of livestock grazing on aquatic and riparian habitats in the Southwest is extensive and universally shows severe and lasting negative impacts such that near complete exclusion of cattle is widely accepted as a minimum baseline management strategy in preserving stream health. Livestock grazing has both direct and indirect effects on streams. Livestock directly affect riparian habitat through removal of riparian vegetation. Loss of riparian vegetation in turn raises water

- temperatures, reduces bank stability, and eliminates an important structural component of the stream environment that contributes to the formation of pools. Grazing physically alters streambanks through trampling and shearing, leading to bank erosion. In combination, loss of riparian vegetation and bank erosion can alter channel morphology, including increased erosion and deposition, downcutting and an increased width/depth ratio, all of which lead to a loss of pool habitats and shallow side and backwater habitats used by several of the listed species that are the subject of this lawsuit.
- 43. Livestock also indirectly impact aquatic and riparian habitats by compacting soils, altering soil chemistry, and reducing vegetation cover in upland areas, leading to increased severity of floods and sediment loading, lower water tables, and altered channel morphology.
- 44. One consequence of these impacts to watersheds is a reduction in the quantity and quality of pool habitat. A lowered water table, for example, results in direct loss of pool habitats, simply because water is not available to form pools. Increased erosion and sedimentation results in filling of pools with sediments. Channel incision and increased flood severity both can scour out pools, reducing habitat complexity and resulting in shallow, uniform streambeds, all of which harms the species at issue in this suit.
- 45. Because of the severity and broad array of these impacts, livestock grazing is one of the most prevalent causes of the federal listing of species in this region, including the following eight threatened and endangered species, all of which are specifically dependent on aquatic and streamside riparian habitat: **Yellow-billed cuckoo** (western DPS): listed as threatened October 3, 2014 (79 Fed. Reg. 59,992); proposed critical habitat November 12, 2014 (79 Fed. Reg. 67,154); **Southwestern willow** flycatcher: listed as endangered February 27, 1995 (60 Fed. Reg. 10,694); final critical habitat January 3, 2013 (78 Fed. Reg. 344); **Gila chub**: listed as endangered and final critical habitat November 2, 2005 (70 Fed. Reg. 66,664); **Loach minnow and spikedace**: uplisted to endangered and final critical habitat February 23, 2012 (77 Fed. Reg. 10,810);

Chiricahua leopard frog: listed as threatened June 13, 2002 (67 Fed. Reg. 40,657, 40,665); final critical habitat March 30, 2012 (77 Fed. Reg. 16,324); Northern Mexican garter snake and narrow-headed garter snake: listed as threatened July 8, 2014 (79 Fed. Reg. 38,678); proposed critical habitat July 10, 2013 (78 Fed. Reg. 41,550).

C. Two Decades Ago, USFS Committed to Remove Cattle from Southwestern Streams

- 46. Prior to the late 1990s, USFS routinely authorized cattle grazing on Southwestern streams and riparian areas despite the mounting evidence of its devastating impacts on those areas and the imperiled species that depend upon them.
- 47. In Sw. Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Forest Serv., the Center sued USFS for its failure to fulfill its Endangered Species Act section 7 consultation duties with respect to the impacts of 158 grazing allotments on southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, and spikedace. No. CV-97-666-TUC-JMR (D. Ariz. Oct. 23, 1997).
- 48. Under a resultant April 1998 settlement agreement, USFS agreed to immediately remove cattle from ninety-nine percent of riparian habitats within the allotments at issue until FWS issued a biological opinion pursuant to section 7 of the ESA.
- 49. These obligations catalyzed USFS, in cooperation with FWS, to develop "Grazing Guidance Criteria," to guide ESA section 7 consultations regarding grazing and to apply those criteria to all 962 grazing allotments within USFS Region 3 (Southwestern Region.). *See Sw. Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, Nos. CV-97-666-TUC-JMR, CV-97-2562-PHX-SMM, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25027, *6-8 (D. Ariz. Mar. 30, 2001) (emphasis added).
- 50. Since that time, grazing exclusions, as well as annual monitoring to ensure the effectiveness of those exclusions, have served as a cornerstone for USFS ESA compliance in relation to its grazing program and individual decisions authorizing grazing on individual grazing allotments.

D. The Center Conducted Assessments Documenting Widespread and Significant Streamside Damage from Cattle on Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forest Grazing Allotments

51. In 2017, the Center conducted an on-the-ground assessment to determine if cattle are present within riparian areas excluded from grazing on twenty-seven allotments in the Gila National Forest, and to document the extent and intensity of impacts from cattle grazing where present. As stated in the survey's summary:

"Across the Gila National Forest there are widespread examples of fences down and in disrepair between private property and Forest Service land. This presents virtually unlimited access for cattle to cross into excluded areas ... Throughout the majority of these riparian areas that are supposed to be excluded from grazing there is intense pressure on native grasses resulting in their displacement with non-palatable invasive plants. Soil compaction and denuded soils are widespread across the landscape and there are sheared streambanks along many miles of both the Gila and San Francisco Rivers. Browse pressure by cattle is causing the full suppression of woody generation along miles of waterways and preventing the restoration of critical habitat."

52. In 2018, the Center conducted a similar assessment on twenty allotments in the Apache-Sitgreaves National Forest. As stated in the survey's summary:

"Cattle signs were recent in over half the allotments and cattle were seen and documented in restricted riparian areas in 11 out of the 20 allotments. In almost half of all riparian areas surveyed, there is intense pressure on native grasses resulting in their replacement with non-palatable invasive plants. Soil compaction and denuded soils are widespread across these impacted landscapes and trampled streambanks are common. Browsing pressure by cattle is suppressing woody plant regeneration along the majority of surveyed waterways, thus preventing the natural renewal of critical wildlife habitats and threatening the recovery of listed species. By far the most severely impacted streams are on the Clifton Ranger District, especially the Blue and San Francisco Rivers and Eagle Creek."

53. The Center has provided both the Gila and Apache-Sitgreaves Assessments to USFS, but the agency has not taken sufficient remedial action.

- E. The Challenged USFS Grazing Authorizations Rely Upon Exclusion of Cows from Southwestern Streams and Consistent Monitoring of Such Exclusions as a Basis for Endangered Species Act Compliance
- 54. Today, the Agencies continue to utilize an iteration of the Grazing Criteria, now called "Master Framework for Streamlining Consultation on Livestock Grazing Activities" (December 2015) (hereinafter referred to as "Grazing Criteria"). The Grazing Criteria presumes that cattle have been excluded from major waterways, and that USFS will conduct regular monitoring to ensure the continuing efficacy of such exclusions. *See*, *e.g.*, p. 68 ("Riparian areas on National Forest System lands have been excluded from livestock grazing to protect habitat along the Gila, San Francisco, Tularosa River, Negrito Creek, Verde, Blue, North Fork East Fork Black Rivers, and Campbell Blue and Eagle Creeks").
- 55. The Grazing Criteria provides that the Agencies can only determine that domestic livestock grazing is not likely to adversely affect aquatic and riparian dependent threatened and endangered species, or destroy or adversely modify their designated critical habitat, through robust protection of streamside riparian habitats, typically achieved through year-long exclusion of cattle. Notably, these riparian exclusions are only one component of a not likely to adversely affect determination and the USFS must also meet specific standards with respect to grazing in adjacent upland areas:
 - * Listed Fish (including Gila chub, spikedace, and loach minnow): requiring "yearlong exclusion of livestock from occupied ... habitats in the action area," as well as a determination that impacts from upland livestock grazing "are determined to be insignificant or discountable ..." (p. 80).
 - * Chiricahua leopard frog: requiring "no livestock use or livestock management activities where the species is reasonably certain to occur or there is occupied aquatic habitat," as well as determinations that impacts from upland livestock grazing are "insignificant or discountable," and that "[p]roposed livestock

management activities ... do not increase the likelihood that non-native predators ... will colonize or be introduced to such aquatic sites." (p. 16).

- * Southwestern willow flycatcher and yellow-billed cuckoo: requiring that grazing activities "do not measurably or detectably reduce the suitability or regeneration" of riparian streamside habitat, as well as determinations that potential indirect effects are "insignificant or discountable" (p. 31, 34).
- * Narrow-headed and northern Mexican garter snake: requiring that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities where the species is reasonably certain to occur or where there is occupied habitat," as well as determinations that the impacts from upland livestock grazing are "insignificant or discountable," with "particular attention given to potential impacts to native fish," and that proposed livestock management activities "will not increase the likelihood that bullfrogs, non-native, spiny-rayed fish, brown trout, or crayfish will colonize, be introduced, or improve their status." (p. 127, 132).
- 56. The Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forest allotments listed in **Table**1 have most recently been considered in separate (though sometimes batched) section 7

 consultations. In accordance with the Grazing Criteria and substantive ESA obligations to avoid jeopardizing the continued existence of listed species, or destroy or adversely modify designated critical habitat, these consultations have relied upon USFS commitments to exclude livestock from riparian areas, ensured through consistent USFS monitoring, to justify conclusions of no effect or not likely to adversely affect determinations in relation to aquatic or riparian dependent endangered species.

Apache National Forest Allotments (Overview)

57. The thirteen Apache National Forest allotments at issue in this suit were considered together in separate section 7 consultations. Several of these consultations involved "likely to adversely affect" findings, and thus concluded with a Biological Opinion issued by FWS. Others were informal and concluded with FWS concurrences on not likely to adversely affect findings documented in USFS Biological Assessments.

Unlike the Gila National Forest, grazing consultations for the Apache-Sitgreaves
National Forests have not clearly relied on the Guidance Criteria for determining effects
to listed species.

Apache National Forest Allotments (Blue River)

- 58. The Blue River's headwaters start in New Mexico and flow for approximately fifty miles through Arizona into its confluence with the San Francisco River. This undammed, remote stream is fed by numerous tributaries, many of which are also occupied by endangered species. The Blue River runs almost entirely within the Apache National Forest, and is surrounded by the 200,000-acre Blue Range Primitive Area, which is managed the same as a congressionally designated wilderness. Although several native fish species have been extirpated, the Blue River harbors one of the highest diversity of native fishes in Arizona.
- 59. On February 2, 2017, FWS issued a Biological Opinion concluding section 7 consultation for the reissuance of a ten-year grazing permit for the Wildbunch allotment. The San Francisco and Blue Rivers form the western and southern boundary of the allotment, respectively, which also contains additional perennial tributaries to the Blue River including Johnson, Cienega, and Indian Creeks. These waters and tributaries contain designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, and spikedace, and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake. FWS concluded that continued grazing would not jeopardize the continued existence of the loach minnow and spikedace, and was not likely to adversely affect the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake, based on the USFS commitment that it "shall protect the riverine and riparian habitat from significant livestock grazing and effects from livestock crossing of the Blue River." (p. 16).
- 60. The Center's A-S Grazing Assessment found 0.9 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 4.8 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Blue River within the **Wildburch allotment**, including heavy cattle trailing and resultant erosion and shearing of streambanks, no functional fencing, and numerous cattle in the river.

- 61. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Blue River, San Francisco River, and other riparian areas on this allotment and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 62. The USFS October 17, 2019 NOI response letter acknowledges "compliance issues" with the **Wildbunch allotment** but does not commit to reinitiation of consultation, and USFS is not ensuring that cattle are removed from riparian areas.
- 63. On January 31, 2003, FWS issued a Biological Opinion addressing multiple grazing allotments along the Blue and San Francisco Rivers, including the **Pigeon** allotment, which contains portions of the Blue River (which primarily flows just outside its boundaries within the Wildbunch allotment) along its northeastern and southeastern boundary, and is occupied by loach minnow and Chiricahua leopard frog. FWS concluded that continued grazing would not jeopardize the continued existence of these species based on the exclusion of seven miles of riparian habitat from grazing (p. 92).
- 64. The Center's A-S Grazing Assessment found 0.6 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Blue River within the **Pigeon allotment**, including heavy cattle trailing and resultant erosion and shearing of streambanks, no functional fencing, and numerous cattle in the river.
- 65. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Blue River on this allotment and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 66. The USFS October 17, 2019 NOI response letter acknowledges "compliance issues" with the **Pigeon allotment**, and states that the allotment is "currently in consultation with the FWS or will be initiated by the end of the calendar year and completed in Fiscal Year 2020," but USFS is not ensuring that cattle are removed from riparian areas pending completion of this consultation.
- 67. On January 31, 2003, FWS issued a Biological Opinion addressing grazing allotments within the Blue and San Francisco River watersheds, including the **Sandrock**

allotment. The Sandrock allotment includes an extensive sixteen-mile reach of the Blue River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake. FWS stated that "grazing was suspended on the Sandrock Allotment in 1984 due to concerns over watershed conditions." (p. 105).

- 68. The Center's A-S Grazing Assessment found 8.2 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 7.8 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Blue River within the purportedly retired **Sandrock allotment**, including abundant cattle trails and sign, trampled streamside herbaceous vegetation, and diminished streamside vegetative cover.
- 69. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Blue River on this allotment, as well as the USFS's characterization of this allotment as retired, and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- Assessments concluding section 7 consultations for the renewal of ten-year grazing permits and associated issuance of AMPs for four allotments including the **Raspberry** allotment. The Raspberry allotment includes designated critical habitat for loach minnow and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake, as well as additional perennial riparian habitat along Strayhorse and Raspberry Creeks. The allotment also contains KP Creek, which is occupied by Apache trout. Although USFS had initially determined that continued grazing was likely to adversely affect these species, "[u]pon further review and discussion with [USFS] staff," FWS instead made a not likely to adversely affect finding. (p. 2). This finding was based on a commitment to exclude cattle from the Blue River. (p. 9.). The Raspberry allotment had been in non-use since 2002.
- 71. The Center's A-S Grazing Assessment found 0.5 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 5.6 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Blue River within the

3

1

4 5

7

9

11

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27 28

Raspberry allotment, including the trampling of several seeps and springs, shearing and erosion of streambanks, and downed fencing.

- These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 72. consultation to exclude cattle from the Blue River and other riparian areas on this allotment and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 73. On December 10, 2015 FWS issued a concurrence with a USFS Biological Assessment concluding ESA section 7 consultations for the renewal of ten-year grazing permits and associated issuance of AMPs for eight allotments including the Cow Flat, Fishhook-Steeple Mesa, and Red Hill allotments. The portion of the Blue River flowing through these allotments contains designated critical habitat for loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake. FWS concluded that the grazing on these allotments will not adversely affect loach minnow or spikedace based on the repeatedly stated commitment to exclude the Blue River from cattle grazing.
- 74. The Center's A-S Grazing Assessment found the following with respect to these allotments:
- * 0.6 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Blue River within the Cow Flat allotment, including bare, denuded ground, sheared and trampled banks from consistent cattle trailing, and cattle feces evident in the water;
- * 0.8 miles of moderate cattle impacts and two miles of significant cattle impacts on the Blue River within the Fishhook-Steeple Mesa allotment, including cattle entering riparian areas from the road, fresh cattle feces and tracks at water's edge, and bare denuded ground; and
- * 0.7 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Blue River within the **Red Hill** allotment, including cows seen in river and throughout public use area, severe trampling of streambanks from intensive grazing, and heavy contamination of surface water by cow feces.

75. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Blue River on these allotments and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.

Apache National Forest Allotments (Eagle Creek)

- 76. With headwaters originating in high-elevation mixed-conifer forests along the southern slope of Arizona's White Mountains, Eagle Creek is an eighty-three mile long tributary to the Gila River. After passing through a broad, grassy valley into deep, steep-walled canyons, the lower portions flow through desert grasslands and desert before meeting with the Gila River. Eagle Creek retains more native fish than any other stream in the Gila River basin.
- Opinion addressing the **East Eagle**, **Mud Springs**, **Double Circle**, **Tule**, and **Dark Canyon allotments**. Among other findings, FWS concluded that the continued grazing authorized by USFS would not jeopardize the continued existence of loach minnow and spikedace because "[t]he Forest has implemented fencing around the riparian corridor" and USFS also pledged "to take action to ensure that range condition does not deteriorate" on upland areas and "to improve range condition in areas of fair or poor condition." (p. 52). In addition, FWS directed that USFS "shall prevent overuse of riparian areas by livestock" by performing "random checks of fencing on Eagle Creek to ensure that trespass cattle are not using these areas." (p. 57). The Biological Opinion did not address the Gila chub and narrow-headed garter snake, both of which are present on Eagle Creek but were not yet listed at that time.
 - 78. The Center's A-S Grazing Assessment found:
- * 0.8 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 1.2 miles of significant cattle impacts on Eagle Creek within the **East Eagle allotment**, as well as moderate to severe impacts on the riparian habitat of East Eagle Creek. The East Eagle allotment includes designated critical habitat for Gila chub, spikedace, and loach minnow, and proposed critical habitat

for the narrow-headed garter snake, as well as occupied Apache trout habitat within Chitty Creek;

- * 0.2 of moderate cattle impacts and 1.1 miles of significant impacts on Eagle Creek within the **Mud Springs allotment**, including large swaths of heavily grazed grasses within the floodplain, severely compromised streambanks, and heavy browsing of streamside woody vegetation. The Mud Springs allotment includes designated critical habitat for Gila chub, spikedace, and loach minnow, and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake;
- * 2.7 miles of significant cattle impacts on Eagle Creek within the **Double Circle** allotment, including intense grazing pressure on streambanks. The Double Circle allotment includes designated critical habitat for spikedace and loach minnow, and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake;
- * 0.9 miles of significant cattle impacts on Eagle Creek within the **Tule allotment**, including severe trampling of streambanks and fencing in disrepair. The Tule allotment includes designated critical habitat for spikedace and loach minnow, and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake; and
- * 4.4 miles of significant cattle impacts on Eagle Creek within the **Dark Canyon allotment**, including severe trampling of streamside vegetation, sharing of banks, heavy near-channel browsing, and fencing in disrepair. The Dark Canyon allotment includes designated critical habitat for spikedace and loach minnow and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.
- 79. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from Eagle Creek and other riparian areas on these allotments and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 80. The USFS October 17, 2019 NOI response letter acknowledges the presence of unauthorized cattle on the **East Eagle**, **Mud Springs**, **Double Circle**, **Tule**, and **Dark Canyon allotments**, and states that some unauthorized livestock have been

removed. The NOI response also acknowledges that ESA reinitiation is required on grazing allotments within the Eagle Creek watershed, but this consultation has not yet resulted in a final Biological Assessment or Biological Opinion, and USFS is not ensuring that cattle are removed from riparian areas pending completion of such consultation.

Apache National Forest Allotments (San Francisco River)

- 81. With headwaters originating near Alpine, Arizona, the San Francisco River flows for nearly 100 miles through western New Mexico before reentering Arizona and meeting with the Gila River south of Clifton, Arizona. This portion of the San Francisco River is occupied by loach minnow and is the site of a 2008 reintroduction for spikedace. It contains designated critical habitat for both species, as well as Gila chub, Chiricahua leopard frog, and southwestern willow flycatcher, and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake.
- 82. On November 30, 2001, FWS issued a Biological Opinion for the **Pleasant Valley allotment**, concluding that the continued grazing authorized by USFS would not jeopardize the continued existence of spikedace or loach minnow because "[n]o livestock from the Pleasant Valley allotment will be permitted to access spikedace critical habitat [along the San Francisco River]." (p. 57-58). The biological opinion did not address the Gila chub, Chiricahua leopard frog, yellow-billed cuckoo, or narrow-headed garter snake, which were listed subsequent to its preparation.
- 83. The Center's A-S Grazing Assessment found 1.1 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 1.4 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the **Pleasant Valley allotment**, including prolific cow pies, large trampled areas and wallows along the water's edge, and numerous well-worn paths within the flood plain.
- 84. The USFS October 17, 2019 NOI response letter states that a Biological Assessment was submitted to FWS on April 29, 2019 regarding the **Pleasant Valley allotment**, but this consultation has not yet resulted in a final Biological Assessment or

pending completion of such consultation.

Gila National Forest Allotments (Overview)

85. Nearly all of the Gila National Forest allotments at issue in this suit were considered together in an informal section 7 consultation on USFS Biological Assessments prepared for forty-four allotments within the forest that concluded with a July 11, 2016 FWS concurrence. The Agencies used the Master Framework Guidance Criteria for determining effects to listed species, all of which are "may affect, not likely to adversely affect." In order for these findings to remain in effect for the life of the term

Biological Opinion, and USFS is not ensuring that cattle are removed from riparian areas

Gila National Forest Allotments (Tularosa River)

permits, USFS committed to conducting annual monitoring of exclosures.

- 86. The Tularosa River is a free-flowing, approximately thirty-two mile long tributary of the San Francisco River in western New Mexico. The Tularosa River is largely free of nonnative fish and contains loach minnow and Chiricahua leopard frog critical habitat, as well as one of few remaining currently viable populations of narrowheaded garter snakes.
- 87. The July 11, 2016 FWS concurrence concluding section 7 consultation included USFS Biological Assessments for the renewal of ten-year grazing permits and associated issuance of AMPs for allotments which include the Tularosa River or its tributaries within their boundaries including the Corner Mountain, Negrito/Yeguas, Govina, Alexander, Deep Canyon, and Lower Canyon allotments. In conformance with the Grazing Criteria, all of these Biological Assessments committed USFS to the exclusion of cattle from the Tularosa River and its tributaries in order to issue not likely to adversely affect findings for impacted species and critical habitat.
- 88. The **Corner Mountain allotment** includes approximately 5.3 miles of South Fork Negrito Creek, a tributary of the Tularosa River containing proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.

- 89. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 90. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the Corner Mountain allotment term grazing permit was relinquished in 1992 but that the allotment is sometimes used as a swing or support allotment on an as-needed basis. USFS states that unauthorized livestock use has occurred but that "corrective actions taken by the District have kept livestock utilization of forage and riparian vegetation to a minimum."
- 91. The Gila Grazing Assessment found 2.4 miles of moderate cattle impacts on South Fork Negrito Creek within the Corner Mountain allotment, including long stretches of streambanks impacted by wallowing, creating dusty and barren terraces, heavy grazing of riparian grasses, and overall severe and sustained cattle impacts on the river corridor, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle.
- 92. The **Negrito/Yeguas allotment** includes approximately 3.5 miles of South Fork Negrito Creek, a tributary of the Tularosa River occupied by the narrow-headed garter snake, and containing proposed critical habitat for the species, as well as three miles of North Fork Negrito Creek and 3.5 miles of Negrito Creek.
- 93. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 94. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the South Fork Negrito Creek "runs through the middle of the allotment and is excluded."
- 95. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 4.3 miles of significant cattle impacts on South Fork Negrito Creek within the **Negrito-Yeguas allotment**, including extreme, severe, and pervasive negative impacts that exceeded the scale for the survey, soil compaction, severe and extensive bank degradation, and creation of a potentially unlawful diversion ditch, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle.

- 96. The **Govina allotment** includes approximately one mile of the Tularosa River containing designated critical habitat for the Chiricahua leopard frog and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.
- 97. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for both the Chiricahua leopard frog and the narrow-headed garter snake requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur or there is occupied aquatic habitat, or within critical habitat.
- 98. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the Tularosa River is excluded on NFS lands except for a water access point at an existing road crossing.
- 99. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 0.5 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Tularosa River within the **Govina allotment**, including severe impacts from cattle trails, trampling, and shearing of the river bank, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle.
- 100. The **Alexander allotment** includes approximately 1.5 miles of the Tularosa River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and Chiricahua leopard frog and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.
- 101. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the loach minnow, Chiricahua leopard frog, and narrow-headed garter snake requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur or there is occupied aquatic habitat, or within critical habitat.
- 102. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the Tularosa River runs through portions of the western side of the Alexander allotment, but that the river is excluded from livestock grazing through riparian exclosure fencing.
- 103. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 1.1 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Tularosa River within the **Alexander allotment**, including complete degradation, trampling, and shearing of streambanks, and downed fences, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle.

- 104. The **Deep Canyon allotment** includes approximately 3.2 miles of the Tularosa River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and Chiricahua leopard frog and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.
- 105. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the loach minnow, Chiricahua leopard frog, and narrow-headed garter snake requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur or there is occupied aquatic habitat, or within critical habitat.
- 106. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the Tularosa River runs through portions of the western side of the Deep Canyon allotment, but that the river is excluded from livestock grazing through riparian exclosure fencing.
- 107. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found two miles of significant cattle impacts on the Tularosa River within the **Deep Canyon allotment**, including trailing corridors and severe trampling, soils converted to dust, and little to no woody regeneration, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle.
- 108. The **Lower Plaza allotment** includes approximately 0.3 miles of the Tularosa River, as well as a small portion of San Francisco River, containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.
- 109. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the loach minnow and narrow-headed garter snake requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur or there is occupied aquatic habitat, or within critical habitat.
- 110. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the Tularosa River and San Francisco River are excluded from livestock grazing on the Lower Plaza allotment through riparian exclosure fencing.
- 111. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 0.5 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Tularosa River within the **Lower Plaza allotment**, including severe

9

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

browsing, trampling, and trailing creating wallows and bare soil, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle.

- These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Tularosa River and other riparian areas on the Corner Mountain, Negrito/Yeguas, Govina, Alexander, Deep Canyon, and Lower Plaza allotments, and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 113. The USFS October 17, 2019 NOI response letter acknowledges compliance issues on the **Alexander** and **Deep Canyon allotments**, stating that an "adjacent landowner's unauthorized livestock were present" on each of the allotments and that some fencing was repaired, but does not state any intention to reinitiate or complete consultation to address the extensive and severe riparian damage documented by the Center's assessment.

Gila National Forest Allotments (San Francisco River)

- The San Francisco River flows for approximately 100 miles in a general southerly direction through western New Mexico before turning westward and reentering Arizona. The New Mexico stretch of the San Francisco River contains occupied and designated critical habitat for yellow-billed cuckoo, southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, spikedace, and narrow-headed garter snake.
- The July 11, 2016 FWS concurrence concluding section 7 consultation included USFS Biological Assessments for the renewal of ten-year grazing permits and associated issuance of AMPs for allotments containing the San Francisco River or its tributaries within their boundaries including the Luna, Black Bob, Frisco Plaza, Kelly, Devil's Park, Alma, Citizen/Roberts Park, Harve Gulch/Bighorn, and Dry Creek allotments. In conformance with the Grazing Criteria, all of these Biological Assessments committed USFS to the exclusion of cattle from the San Francisco River and tributaries containing endangered species habitat in order to issue not likely to adversely affect findings for impacted species and critical habitat.

- 5 6
- 9
- 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16 17
- 18

- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25 26
- 27 28

- The **Luna allotment** includes approximately four miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake, as well as four miles of Dry Blue Creek, two miles of Frieborn Creek, and one mile of Pace Creek containing designated critical habitat for the spikedace and loach minnow.
- 117. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from four miles of the San Francisco River, four miles of Dry Blue Creek, two miles of Frieborn Creek, and one mile of Pace Creek on the Luna allotment
- The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 0.8 miles of moderate cattle impacts and three miles of significant cattle impacts on the riparian areas of the Luna allotment, including heavy grazing along the San Francisco River streambank, severe and pervasive cattle trails, and cattle observed in the San Francisco River, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River and other riparian areas within the Luna allotment.
- The **Black Bob allotment** includes approximately 5.4 miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake, as well as three miles of Cienega Creek.
- Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake and loach minnow requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from the San Francisco River on the Black Bob allotment, with the exception of "livestock water access points."

- 123. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 3.5 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the **Black Bob allotment**, including trampling causing compaction along the water's edge with sheared banks, and areas of wallowing and bare ground, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River and other riparian areas within the Black Bob allotment.
- 124. The **Frisco Plaza allotment** includes approximately five miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake, as well as 0.75 miles of the Tularosa River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.
- 125. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 126. The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from the San Francisco River and Tularosa River on the Frisco Plaza allotment except at "livestock water access points."
- 127. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 6.9 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the **Frisco Plaza allotment**, including an almost complete loss of riparian edge vegetation, complete suppression of woody vegetation, severe bank shearing and degradation, low plant diversity, and multiple points of extreme bank erosion at the river's edge, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River and Tularosa River within the Frisco Plaza allotment.
- 128. The **Kelly allotment** includes approximately ten miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake, as well as four miles of Saliz Creek with proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.

- 129. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 130. The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from the San Francisco River on the Kelly allotment except for four livestock water access points
- 131. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 1.1 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 4.9 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the **Kelly allotment**, including riverbanks denuded of vegetation, frequent and severe streambank shearing and compaction, and heavily trampled river banks, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River and other riparian areas within the Kelly allotment.
- 132. The **Devils Park allotment** includes approximately 3.8 miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.
- 133. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 134. The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from the San Francisco River on the Devils Park allotment except at four livestock water access points.
- 135. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 3.1 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the **Devils Park allotment**, including numerous cattle observed wallowing in the river, with severe, pervasive, and sustained impacts, heavy browsing of woody regeneration, and near-stream sand terraces denuded

8 9

7

10 11

13 14

12

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 of vegetation, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River within the Devils Park allotment.

- The **Alma allotment** includes approximately 3.8 miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake.
- 137. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur, and for the yellow-billed cuckoo, the grazing cannot "measurably or detectably reduce the suitability or regeneration of" cuckoo streamside habitat.
- The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from the San Francisco River on the Alma allotment except for a "less than 100 foot long" livestock water access point.
- The Gila Grazing Assessment found 2.7 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the Alma allotment, including abundant cattle sign and heavy utilization of riparian exclosure areas, missing fence lines, well-worn cattle trails, including wide trails indicating herd movement, sheared streambanks with deep hoof depressions, abundant wallowing areas with soils completely denuded of vegetation, and trampling of saplings, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River within the Alma allotment.
- 140. The **Roberts Park allotment** includes approximately 4.6 miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the yellowbilled cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake.
- 141. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain

to occur, and for the yellow-billed cuckoo, the grazing cannot "measurably or detectably reduce the suitability or regeneration of" cuckoo streamside habitat.

- 142. The April 8, 2016 USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from the San Francisco River on the Roberts Park allotment.
- 143. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 0.4 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 1.7 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the adjoining **Roberts Park and Citizen allotments**, including fencing in disrepair, cattle moving into and down the river corridor, and severe impacts with wallowing areas and large grazed patches, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River within the Roberts Park and Citizen allotments.
- 144. The **Citizen allotment** includes approximately 2.4 miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake.
- 145. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur, and for the yellow-billed cuckoo, the grazing cannot "measurably or detectably reduce the suitability or regeneration of" cuckoo streamside habitat.
- 146. The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from the San Francisco River on the Citizen allotment.
- 147. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 0.4 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 1.7 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the adjoining **Roberts and Citizen allotments**, including fencing in disrepair, cattle moving into and down the river corridor, and severe impacts with wallowing areas and large grazed patches, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River within the Roberts Park and Citizen allotments.

- 148. The **Harve Gulch/Bighorn allotment** includes approximately 6.5 miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake, as well as 2.5 miles of Whitewater Creek containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher and loach minnow and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake.
- 149. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur, and for the yellow-billed cuckoo, the grazing cannot "measurably or detectably reduce the suitability or regeneration of" cuckoo streamside habitat.
- 150. The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are fully excluded from the San Francisco River and Whitewater Creek on the Harve Gulch/Bighorn allotment.
- 151. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 2.2 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 1.5 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the **Harve Gulch/Bighorn allotment**, including cattle trails and wallowing areas, and stunted willow recruitment, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River and other riparian areas within the Harve Gulch/Bighorn allotment.
- 152. The **Dry Creek allotment** includes approximately 2.5 miles of the San Francisco River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo and narrow-headed garter snake.
- 153. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain

to occur, and for the yellow-billed cuckoo, the grazing cannot "measurably or detectably reduce the suitability or regeneration of" cuckoo streamside habitat.

- 154. The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are fully excluded from the San Francisco River on the Dry Creek allotment.
- 155. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 0.9 miles of significant cattle impacts on the San Francisco River within the **Dry Creek allotment**, including observed cattle in riparian vegetation, large wallowing areas, and cattle tracks, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River within the Dry Creek allotment.
- 156. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the San Francisco River and other riparian areas on the Luna, Black Bob, Frisco Plaza, Kelly, Devil's Park, Alma, Citizen/Roberts Park, Harve Gulch/Bighorn, and Dry Creek allotments, and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 157. The USFS October 17, 2019 NOI response letter acknowledges compliance issues on the Luna, Black Bob, Kelly, Devil's Park, and Alma allotments, stating that unauthorized cattle have been removed, but with the exception of the Luna allotment, does not state any intention to reinitiate or complete consultation to address the extensive and severe riparian damage documented by the Center's assessment. With respect to the Luna allotment, the response letter states that USFS will reinitiate consultation in Fiscal Year 2020 to address newly listed species including narrow-headed garter snakes, but this consultation has not yet resulted in a final Biological Assessment or Biological Opinion, and USFS is not ensuring that cattle are removed from riparian areas pending completion of such consultation, despite its acknowledgment that unauthorized cattle have accessed occupied endangered species habitat on Dry Blue Creek, and that grazing is not completely excluded from occupied endangered species habitat on the San Francisco River.

Gila National Forest Allotments (Gila River Headwaters)

- 158. The headwaters of the Gila River flow largely through the nation's first designated wilderness, the Gila Wilderness. Collectively, the West Fork, Middle Fork, and East Fork headwaters of the Gila River contain occupied habitat and/or designated critical habitat for endangered species including loach minnow, spikedace, Chiricahua leopard frog, and narrow-headed garter snake.
- 159. The July 11, 2016 FWS concurrence concluding section 7 consultation included USFS Biological Assessments for the renewal of ten-year grazing permits and associated issuance of AMPs for allotments containing headwater streams of the Gila River within their boundaries including the **Jordan Mesa**, **Taylor Creek**, and **XSX allotments**. In conformance with the Grazing Criteria, all of these Biological Assessments committed USFS to the exclusion of cattle from the Gila River headwaters and tributaries containing endangered species habitat in order to issue not likely to adversely affect findings for impacted species and critical habitat.
- 160. The **Taylor Creek allotment** includes approximately three miles of the East Fork Gila River (2.5 miles on private land) containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake; 3.4 miles of Beaver Creek containing designated critical habitat for Chiricahua leopard frog; and nine miles of Taylor Creek, which is occupied by headwater chub. The Taylor allotment is contained entirely within the Gila Wilderness.
- 161. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 162. The USFS Biological Assessment states that livestock are excluded from East Fork Gila River, Beaver Creek, and Taylor Creek on the Taylor Creek allotment.
- 163. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 0.9 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 3.5 miles of significant cattle impacts on Beaver Creek and Taylor Creek on

the **Taylor Creek allotment**, including cows inside exclosure areas, lack of fencing, severe impacts on herbaceous growth and woody regeneration, wallows and bare ground, downed fences, bank shearing, and long-term suppression of woody regeneration, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from Beaver Creek and Taylor Creek within the Taylor Creek allotment.

- 164. The **Jordan Mesa allotment** includes approximately 5.7 miles of the East Fork Gila River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake. The Jordan Mesa allotment is contained entirely within the Gila Wilderness.
- 165. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 166. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the entire East Fork Gila River will be closed to grazing on the Jordan Mesa allotment, but that the allotment currently remains in non-use.
- 167. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 3.7 miles of moderate cattle impacts and 2.6 miles of significant cattle impacts on East Fork Gila River on the **Jordan Mesa allotment**, including signs of cattle use or movement throughout the entire survey area, severe browse pressure on all woody regeneration, and fencing in disrepair, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from the East Fork Gila River within the Jordan Mesa allotment.
- 168. The **XSX allotment** includes portions of the East Fork Gila River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake; 4.6 miles of the Gila River containing designated critical habitat for the loach minnow and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the northern Mexican garter snake and narrow-headed garter snake; and

portions of West Fork Gila River. The XSX allotment is contained entirely within the Gila Wilderness.

- 169. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 170. The Biological Assessment sates that the Middle Fork, East Fork, and Gila River are excluded from grazing on the XSX allotment.
- 171. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found one mile of moderate cattle impacts on the East Fork Gila River on the **XSX allotment**, contradicting the Agencies' commitment to exclude cattle from within the XSX allotment.
- 172. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Gila River headwaters and tributaries on the **Taylor Creek**, **Jordan Mesa**, and **XSX allotments**, and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 173. The USFS October 17, 2019 NOI response letter acknowledges compliance issues on the **Taylor Creek** and **Jordan Mesa allotments**, and states that USFS will reinitiate consultation on the **Taylor Creek allotment** in Fiscal Year 2020 to address newly listed species including narrow-headed garter snakes, but this consultation has not yet resulted in a final Biological Assessment or Biological Opinion, and USFS is not ensuring that cattle are removed from riparian areas pending completion of such consultation.

Gila National Forest Allotments (Gila River)

174. After the headwaters converge, the upper Gila River flows through a large portion of the Gila Wilderness, and then further downstream enters into a mixture of Forest Service, private, and other federal and state lands in New Mexico before crossing the Arizona state line.

- USFS Biological Assessments concluding section 7 consultations for the renewal of tenyear grazing permits and associated issuance of AMPs for allotments within the Gila River including the **Brock Canyon**, **Redstone**, and **Gila River allotments**. In conformance with the Grazing Criteria, all of these Biological Assessments committed USFS to the exclusion of cattle from the Gila River in order to issue not likely to adversely affect findings for impacted species and critical habitat.
- 176. The **Brock Canyon allotment** includes approximately fourteen miles of the Gila River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, Gila chub, loach minnow, and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake.
- 177. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 178. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the entire Brock Canyon allotment is in non-use.
- 179. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found significant cattle impacts throughout the Brock Canyon allotment on the Gila River. The Brock Canyon allotment is contained largely within the Gila Wilderness.
- 180. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Gila River and other riparian areas on the **Brock** Canyon allotment, and the larger commitment to keep the allotment in non-use, and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 181. The **Redstone allotment** includes approximately fourteen miles of the Gila River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, Gila

chub, loach minnow, and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the narrow-headed garter snake. The Redstone allotment is contained largely within the Gila Wilderness.

- 182. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 183. The USFS Biological Assessment did not address the Redstone allotment, which was considered vacant and was officially ungrazed since 1999. In 2012, USFS reopened the Wildhorse Mesa Pasture on the Redstone allotment.
- 184. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found significant cattle impacts throughout the **Redstone allotment** on the Gila River.
- 185. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Gila River and other riparian areas occupied by endangered species, and the larger commitment to keep the allotment in non-use, and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.
- 186. The **Gila River allotment** includes approximately eleven miles of the Gila River containing designated critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher, loach minnow, and spikedace and proposed critical habitat for the yellow-billed cuckoo, northern Mexican garter snake, and narrow-headed garter snake.
- 187. Under the Grazing Criteria, a not likely to adversely affect finding for the narrow-headed garter snake, loach minnow, and spikedace requires that there "will be no livestock use or livestock management activities" where the species is reasonably certain to occur.
- 188. The USFS Biological Assessment states that the Gila River is excluded from grazing.
- 189. The Center's Gila Grazing Assessment found 0.8 miles of moderate cattle impacts, and 4.3 miles of significant cattle impacts on the Gila River within the **Gila**

River allotment including downed fences, severe trampling, long-term heavily grazed patches, heavy browsing on woody regeneration, bank degradation, and breaches in fencing.

190. These findings contradict the Agencies' commitment in their ESA section 7 consultation to exclude cattle from the Gila River and other riparian areas on the **Gila River allotment**, and the larger commitment to keep the allotment in non-use, and demonstrate that USFS has not been conducting required monitoring or taken required corrective action.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

Claim I

Failure to Reinitiate and Complete ESA Section 7 Consultation to Ensure Ongoing Livestock Grazing Does Not Jeopardize Listed Species or Destroy or Adversely Modify Critical Habitat (ESA Violation of 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2) and 50 C.F.R. § 402.16)

- 191. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs by reference.
- 192. USFS has authorized livestock grazing on the grazing allotments identified in the paragraphs above and listed in **Table 1** through final agency actions including the issuance of term grazing permits, allotment management plans ("AMPs"), and allotment annual operating instructions ("AOIs").
- 193. The Agencies have relied upon the USFS's commitments to exclude cattle from riparian areas—typically through fencing—as a foundation for meeting their obligations under the Endangered Species Act to ensure that USFS's grazing authorizations do not jeopardize the continued existence of endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of their designated critical habitat. Specifically, in carrying out their consultation duties pursuant to section 7 of the ESA for the specific grazing authorizations challenged in this action, the Agencies have determined that the impacts of domestic livestock grazing on endangered riparian species are insignificant based largely on commitments that designated streamside habitat is excluded from cattle and that USFS will regularly monitor riparian areas in order to

ensure that the fencing exclusions remain intact and effective. These commitments include requirements specific to each listed threatened or endangered species, as detailed in the "Master Framework for Streamlining Consultation on Livestock Grazing Activities" (December 2015), also called the Grazing Guidance Criteria.

- 194. Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversity conducted on-the-ground assessments to determine if cattle are present within riparian areas excluded from cattle on grazing allotments in the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests in 2017, 2018, and 2019. These assessments documented that purported fencing exclusions were frequently in disrepair or absent, resulting in widespread cattle presence and associated extensive damage to riparian areas.
- 195. The ESA places ongoing obligations on federal agencies to ensure that their actions do not jeopardize the continued existence of endangered species or adversely modify or destroy their designated critical habitat, including the duty to reinitiate section 7 consultation in four circumstances. 50 C.F.R. § 402.16(a)(1)-(4). Agencies must reinitiate consultation, for example, "[i]f the amount or extent of taking specified in the incidental take statement is exceeded," when "[n]ew information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered," or when "[t]he identified action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species or critical habitat that was not considered in the biological opinion." *Id.* § 402.16(a)(1)-(3).
- 196. The grazing assessment reports provided the Agencies with documentation of extensively damaged, ineffective, or absent fencing and associated riparian use and damage by cattle. These findings contradict the requirements and commitments made pursuant to application of the Grazing Guidance Criteria, and thus undermine the legality of section 7 consultation decisions authorizing grazing on the identified allotments, as all of these decisions relied upon the purported exclusion of cattle from southwestern rivers and streams as a foundation for those decisions.

The Agencies were required to reinitiate and complete consultation when presented with evidence documenting extensive cattle use and associated lack of USFS monitoring of the riparian streamside areas of specific allotments within the upper Gila River watershed on the Apache-Sitgreaves and Gila National Forests. In addition, USFS is required to address or remediate the extensive natural resource damage to occupied endangered species streamside and riparian habitat and designated critical habitat that has been demonstrated in the Center's assessments. The USFS's failure in fact to exclude domestic livestock from occupied threatened and endangered species habitat specifically triggers the reinitiation thresholds at 50 C.F.R. § 402.16(a). By failing to reinitiate and complete consultation despite the fact that the reinitiation criteria are satisfied, the Agencies are in violation of 50 C.F.R. § 402.16.

The Agencies are in ongoing violation of the ESA for livestock grazing on the grazing allotments identified in the paragraphs above and listed in Table 1. 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2); 50 C.F.R. § 402.16. By failing to take effective actions to exclude livestock from these allotments, or to remediate the extensive natural resource damage to occupied endangered species streamside and riparian habitat and designated critical habitat that has been demonstrated in the Center's assessments, USFS is in ongoing violation of the substantive ESA section 7(a)(2) requirement that federal agencies ensure their actions are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat.

- 199. Plaintiff and its members are injured by the Agencies' violations of ESA section 7(a)(2) and failure to reinitiate and complete consultation.
- An Order of the Court directing compliance with 50 C.F.R. § 402.16 and ESA section 7 would redress Plaintiff's injuries.

Claim II

Unlawful Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources Pending Completion of Consultation (ESA Violation of 16 U.S.C. 1536(d))

- 201. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs by reference.
- 202. USFS's October 17, 2019 NOI response letter does not provide any specific timeline or other clear commitment for completing the vast majority of the required reinitiated consultations and does not acknowledge that such reinitiation is required for many allotments. Cattle continue to access purportedly excluded riparian areas, even though the Agencies have determined that such exclusion is necessary to avoid jeopardizing listed species or the destruction or adverse modification of their designated critical habitat.
- 203. ESA section 7(d) provides that once an agency initiates or reinitiates section 7 consultation, the agency "shall not make any irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources with respect to the agency action which has the effect of foreclosing the formulation or implementation of any reasonable and prudent alternative measures which would not violate subsection (a)(2)." 16 U.S.C. § 1536(d). The purpose of section 7(d) is to prevent harm to endangered species and designated critical habitat pending the completion of section 7 consultation. In this case, USFS must take immediate action to prevent permittees from foreclosing conservation options that might otherwise be available upon the completion of consultation.
- 204. USFS has failed to exclude cattle from riparian areas occupied by listed threatened or endangered species, and/or containing designated critical habitat for such species, within the grazing allotments identified in the paragraphs above and listed in **Table 1** in violation of ESA section 7(d), 16 U.S.C. § 1536(d).
- 205. Plaintiff and its members are injured by the Agencies' violations of ESA section 7(d).
- 206. An Order of the Court directing compliance with ESA section 7(d) would redress Plaintiff's injuries.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

2

1

4

56

7

8

9 10

11

12

1314

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- 1. Declare that Defendants are violating section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act and 50 C.F.R. § 402.16 by failing to reinitiate and complete consultation on the grazing allotments identified in the paragraphs above and listed in **Table 1** in order to ensure that grazing activities on those allotments do not jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of their designated critical habitat;
- 2. Declare that Defendants are violating Section 7(d) of the Endangered Species Act by failing to remove all cattle within purportedly excluded riparian areas;
- 3. Order USFS to remove all cattle within purportedly excluded riparian areas within ten days of this Court's Order;
- 4. Order USFS to remediate the extensive natural resource damage to occupied endangered species streamside and riparian habitat and designated critical habitat that has been documented in the Center's assessments;
- 5. Order USFS to commit to monthly monitoring of all riparian and streamside areas required to be excluded from domestic livestock grazing pursuant to the Grazing Criteria and section 7 of the Endangered Species Act;
- 6. Order the Agencies to reinitiate and complete consultation on the grazing allotments identified in the paragraphs above and listed in **Table 1**;
- 7. Grant Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with this action, as provided by the ESA, § 1540(g)(4), or the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412; and
- 8. Provide such additional relief as the Court may deem just and proper. Respectfully Submitted this 13th day of January, 2020.

/s/ Brian Segee Brian Segee (Cal. Bar No. 200795) Center for Biological Diversity 660 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1000

Los Angeles, CA 90017 (805) 750-8852 bsegee@biologicaldiversity.org
Pro Hac Vice Application Pending Marc Fink (Minn. Bar No. 343407) Center for Biological Diversity 209 East 7th Street Duluth, MN 55805 Tel: (218) 464-0539 mfink@biologicaldiversity.org
Pro Hac Vice Application Pending Attorney for Plaintiffs

TABLE 1 **Apache National Forest Allotments** Blue River: Red Hill, Cow Flat, Fishhook/Steeple Mesa, Raspberry, Sandrock, Pigeon Eagle Creek: East Eagle, Mud Springs, Double Circle, Tule, Dark Canyon San Francisco River: Wildbunch (also on Blue River), Pleasant Valley **Gila National Forest Allotments** Gila River Headwaters: Jordan Mesa, Taylor Creek, and XSX Gila River: Redstone, Brock Canyon, Gila River Tularosa River: Corner Mountain, Negrito/Yeguas, Govina, Alexander, Deep Canyon, Lower Plaza San Francisco River: Luna, Black Bob, Frisco Plaza, Kelly, Devil's Park, Alma, Citizen/Roberts Park, Harve Gulch/Bighorn, Dry Creek